	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 08-13555-scc
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	Case No. 17-12442-scc
12	x
13	In the Matter of:
14	
15	LEHMAN BROTHERS U.K. HOLDINGS (DELAWARE) INC.,
16	
17	Debtor.
18	x
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	United States Bankruptcy Court
2	One Bowling Green
3	New York, NY 10004
4	
5	March 8, 2018
6	9:58 AM
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: JONATHAN

	Page 3
1	HEARING re Doc #57682 Motion to Estimate RMBS Claims of
2	SASCO 2006-S4 For Reserve Purposes filed by Paul V. Shalhoub
3	on behalf of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc
4	
5	HEARING re Combined Hearing Approving Disclosure Statement
6	and Confirmation of Plan
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	1 g + 01 10
	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtors
5	767 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, NY 10153
7	
8	BY: SCOTT R. BOWLING
9	GARRETT FAIL
10	
11	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
12	Attorneys for Brookfield
13	601 Lexington Avenue
14	New York, NY 10022
15	
16	BY: CHRISTOPHER MARCUS
17	ANNELYSE GIBBONS
18	
19	ROLLIN BRASWELL FISHER LLC
20	Attorneys for the Debtors
21	8350 E. Crescent Parkway, Suite 100
22	Greenwood Village, CO 80111
23	
24	BY: MICHAEL ROLLING
25	MARITZA DOMINGUEZ BRASWELL

	Page 5
1	HOWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP
2	Attorneys for SASCO Trustee
3	750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
4	New York, NY 10019
5	
6	BY: NEIL R. LIEBERMAN
7	MICHAEL S. SHUSTER
8	DWIGHT A. HEALY
9	
10	WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
11	Attorneys for Plan Administrator, LBHI
12	787 Seventh Avenue
13	New York, NY 10019
14	
15	BY: TODD G. COSENZA
16	
17	WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
18	Attorneys for Plan Administrator, LBHI
19	1875 K Street, NW
20	Washington, DC 20006
21	
22	BY: JOSEPH G. DAVIS
23	
24	
25	

	Pg 6 0f 18	
	Page 6	
1	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
2	PATRICK MOHAN	
3	TIFFANY KARY	
4	JANE STROBEL	
5	ALEXANDER TERVOOREN	
6	ROBERT MADDEN	
7	JOSHUA ROSENTHAL	
8	CHRISTOPHER DESIDERIO	
9	STEVE CARAVAJAL	
10	MARTHA JOHNS	
11	SAGE SIGLER	
12	JOHN WEITNAUER	
13	GRANT STEIN	
14	ALEXANDER KLIPPER	
15	KYLE BURNS	
16	LOREN HARMAN	
17	BENJAMIN HEIDLAGE	
18	URI ITKIN	
19	JULIA WINTERS	
20	SCOTT LEWIS	
21	TRACY HENDERSON	
22	ALEX FLAMM	
23	GARRETT FAIL	
24	ANNELYSE GIBBONS	
25	AMANDA DARWIN	

Page 7 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for making yourself 3 available the day after thundersnow day. Mr. Fail. 4 MR. FAIL: Thank you. Good morning, Your Honor. 5 I'm going to turn the podium over to my colleague, Scott 6 Bowling, who will walk us through the agenda this morning. 7 THE COURT: Okay, great. 8 MR. FAIL: Thank you. 9 MR. BOWLING: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. BOWLING: Scott Bowling, Weil, Gotshal & 12 Manges for the Debtors. Lehman Brothers U.K. Holdings 13 (Delaware) Inc. and Lehman Pass-Through Securities Inc. are 14 the Debtors in these cases. We refer to them as LUK and 15 LPSI for simplicity's sake. 16 With me at counsel table is Mr. Garrett Fail, also 17 Mr. Christopher Mosher and several other of his colleagues 18 at Lehman are in the Courtroom today. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. BOWLING: There is only one item on today's 21 agenda. 22 THE COURT: Right. MR. BOWLING: We are pleased to be requesting 23 approval of the disclosure statement and confirmation of the 24 25 plan on an uncontested basis. With the Court's permission,

I'd like to provide a very brief status update on these cases, and then speak to what the Debtors have filed in support of confirmation.

THE COURT: Please, go ahead.

MR. BOWLING: Thank you. As you will recall, the Debtors commenced these cases just over six months ago on August 31, 2017. These cases are part of a complex transaction among the Debtors of EHI and affiliates of Brookfield that began prior to the petition date.

As contemplated by that transaction as Mr. Mosher stated in his first-day declaration, the Debtors had a number of objectives for these Chapter 11 cases, including: establishing that the liabilities on their balance sheets are their only liabilities; identifying any previously unknown claims, executory contracts, or unexpired leases; providing appropriate treatment for their obligations under the plan; and preserving the value of their assets.

I'm pleased to report that the Debtors have accomplished all of these objections here, other than confirmation of the plan, which is for today.

In particular, nine claims were filed in these cases. The Debtors worked with each of the claimants to consensually resolve those claims based on their books and records. All the claims were subsequently withdrawn. No notices of executory contracts or unexpired leases were

filed.

More recently in mid-January, the Debtors filed the plan, the disclosure statement, and related documents. The plan provides for three impaired classes: Class 3, Brookfield claims; Class 5, existing Brookfield interests; and Class 6, existing Lehman interests.

On account of his claims, Class 3 is receiving, as applicable, 38.67 percent of the common equity of reorganized LUK, or 42.55 percent of the common equity reorganized LPSI. Class 5 is receiving 61.33 percent of the common equity or reorganized LUK, or 57.45 of percent of the common equity of reorganized LPSI. And on account of its interest, Class 6 is receiving, as applicable, 100 percent of the preferred stock of reorganized LUK or reorganized LPSI, with the liquidation as set forth in the plan.

On January 16th, the Court granted conditional approval of the disclosure statement to permit solicitation and votes on the plan. As reflected in the affidavit of solicitation mailing of Jane Sullivan, Docket #52, Epiq transmitted solicitation packages and notices of this hearing and the objection deadline to all parties and interests in these cases.

All of the parties entitled to vote on the plan, specifically LBHI and affiliates of Brookfield, voted to

accept the plan, and is shown in the voting certification of Jane Sullivan, Docket #62.

The Debtors also gave notice of this hearing and the objection deadline by publication, both throughout the United States in the "Wall Street Journal" and abroad in the "Financial Times." The affidavits of publication notice are at Docket #63. Those publications were calculated to reach all parties that could potentially be interested in these Chapter 11 cases. No party has objected to confirmation of the plan or approval of the disclosure statement.

Now, as Your Honor is aware, the Debtors have filed a number of declarations and other documents in support of approval of the disclosure statement and confirmation of the plan. In addition to the ones already mentioned, the affidavits include the first-day declaration of Christopher Mosher, Docket #6, and the combined declaration of Christopher Mosher in support of approval of the disclosure statement and plan confirmation, Docket #46.

The Court admitted the first-day declaration into evidence at the first-day hearing. As for the remaining declarations, Mr. Mosher and Ms. Sullivan are here in Court this morning. At this time, I would move for the admission of the declarations into evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? Anyone wish to cross-examine? Okay, very good.

MR. BOWLING: Thank you, Your Honor. As you are also aware and could take judicial notice of the fact the Debtors have filed a plan at Docket #43, the disclosure statement at Docket #44, the plan supplement at Docket #45, as amended at Docket #66, a memorandum of law in support of approval of the disclosure statement and confirmation of the plan at Docket #67, and the proposed form of confirmation order at Docket #68.

That brings us to the Debtors' request that the Court approve the disclosure statement on a final basis, confirm the plan, and waive the 14-day stay of effectiveness of the confirmation order under Bankruptcy Rule 3020(e).

As is set forth in the Debtors' confirmation brief, the applicable legal standards are satisfied here. The disclosure statement provided adequate information under the circumstances of these cases. Here, all of the parties that were entitled to vote on the plan understood the transactions contemplated by the plan and have consented to the approval of the disclosure statement.

The plan satisfies the requirement of Section

1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code for all the reasons set forth
in the Debtors' confirmation brief. I'm happy to report
that no party has objected to either. As a result, the

Debtors believe that entry of the Debtors' confirmation
order is appropriate and warranted.

Page 12 1 With that, I'm happy to answer any questions Your 2 Honor might have. Otherwise, the Debtors request that the 3 Court approve the disclosure statement and confirm the plan. THE COURT: All right. Does anyone else wish to 4 5 be heard? Mr. Marcus, no? 6 MR. MARCUS: Nothing, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Right. 8 MR. MARCUS: I think Mr. Bowling said it well. 9 THE COURT: All right. I think he said it well as 10 well. All right. Based on the entirety of the record 11 before me, including the very well-prepared pleadings that 12 you've presented, I'm pleased to confirm -- pleased to 13 approve the disclosure on a final basis and confirm the 14 plan. 15 Have there been any changes to the form of order 16 from the form that we have received? 17 MR. BOWLING: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay, very good. So once we get 19 through our long day today, we will get those entered on the 20 docket. 21 MR. BOWLING: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right? Thank you for the very nice presentation. All right. Thank you, Mr. Fail. 23 24 MR. FAIL: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 25 we be excused?

	Fy 13 01 18
	Page 13
1	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
2	MR. FAIL: Thank you.
3	MR. BOWLING: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
4	(Recess)
5	THE COURT: Brief hearing on the reserve motion,
6	and then we're going to turn to the decision on the
7	estimation proceeding.
8	We're going to switch out the tape after this
9	concludes, and then our real-time reporter can begin, and we
10	will have a separate transcript for the main hearing.
11	But just by way of preview, I will tell you it's
12	going to be a very long day, so I'd like to keep this part
13	as brief as possible. All right?
14	MR. COSENZA: Sure. Should I approach, Your
15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: Yeah. As you can see, we took back
17	our Courtroom, got rid of all of your technology and all of
18	your wires.
19	MR. COSENZA: Good morning, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Cosenza.
21	MR. COSENZA: Todd Cosenza from Willkie Farr &
22	Gallagher for the plan administrator, Lehman Brothers
23	Holdings, Inc.
24	Your Honor, we apologize for burdening the Court
25	with what we thought as a dispute that should have been

resolved consensually.

Just, as a matter of background, you know, we filed this motion because we wanted the decision that Your Honor will issue -- rule on later today to sort of then be the turnkey to releasing the reserve that's set up for the remainder of these claims.

And obviously our motion, we filed this motion on February 19th. It relates to one opt-out trust, and we sought to see the reserve for that opt-out trust, which is the SASCO 2006-S4 Trust, at the greater of \$2.38 billion. Or if Your Honor were to determine that the -- based on the estimation proceeding, that the Trustees are entitled to a higher claim, such amount as this Court determines for a total value of the claims at the estimation proceeding.

The Trustee has objected. They claim that they should -- that somehow this trust is very different from all the other trusts that have been put forward in the estimation proceeding, as is evidenced by the submissions of the Trustee that the Trustee has put forward: it's the same evidence; it's the same experts; it's the same information that was part of the estimation proceeding.

We believe it's only reasonable, frankly, Your

Honor, to even wait until we hear from Your Honor's ruling

on the estimation proceeding --

THE COURT: Oh, yes, you are going to wait. I am

Page 15 1 not going to --2 MR. COSENZA: -- before --3 THE COURT: -- listen to you now and tell you, as 4 you know, I've read everything that was submitted on this, 5 and I have no intention of giving you a decision on the 6 reserve motion until the other side of the ruling on the 7 estimation. 8 MR. COSENZA: And, Your Honor, from our 9 perspective, that's perfectly fine. Just one other point the Trustee's, based on their submission, they would seek a 10 11 claim reserve for this claim of 191.7 --12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. COSENZA: -- which is full value of every loan 14 to full purchase price, and we think that's really out of 15 line with what we saw. But, Your Honor, we're more than 16 happy to take this up after and that's sufficient for our 17 current purposes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I may have some more questions 19 later. 20 MR. COSENZA: Sure. THE COURT: But for now, that's fine. 21 22 MR. COSENZA: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Cosenza. 24 Mr. Shuster. 25 MR. SHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be

Page 16 1 brief. Michael Shuster, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Just let me say, with no small amount of irony, that it was our fault that there was a snowstorm 3 yesterday. It was just the final --4 5 MR. SHUSTER: Yes, no, it's in keeping with our --6 THE COURT: It was in keeping with the tradition 7 that we've developed in our case --8 MR. SHUSTER: Of things going wrong, yes, and I'll 9 agree. 10 THE COURT: -- of things happening. 11 MR. SHUSTER: Yeah, I hope it's not a karmic thing. So I'll be very brief. Mr. Cosenza say that the 12 13 Trustees believe this trust is very different. It is very 14 different. It opted out; it opted out of the settlement. 15 It has a claim; it has the right to seek to prove its claim. 16 The evidence that the plan administrator adduced 17 in support of its position in the estimation hearing. To the extent it relates to the views of institutional 18 19 investors or other settlements simply don't apply here 20 because this isn't a settlement. There's no agreed 21 estimation proceeding; there's no agreement that otherwise 22 inadmissible evidence would come in. The value of the claim is \$191 million. The claim 23 consists of claims for breaches of reps and warranties 24 relating to income debt, employment occupancy. 25 There's also

Pg 17 of 18 Page 17 1 a substantial missing document claim that, unlike the ones 2 that were the -- some of the ones that were the subject of the estimation hearing, relate almost entirely to Aurora 3 loan files that are deemed completed, and there are 4 5 vanishingly few on-hold loans. 6 So for all of those reasons and others that we 7 stated in our papers, we think that -- I'll just quickly on 8 prejudice. I know that other creditors want to get paid, 9 but if a reserve is set at a certain level and the claim 10 comes in below that level, they will get paid their 11 proportionate share; they'll just have to wait a while. 12 But if the reserve here is set too low, then 13 there's a risk of actual prejudice where this creditor would 14 not get paid its proportionate share that we think is 15 unnecessary. 16 Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Shuster. Okay. 18 As I said, we can take this up further when we get on the 19 other side of the opinion. 20 So let's now conclude this hearing for now. 21 Jonathan, if you would put in a new tape. 22 23 24

Page 18 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Sonya Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, 6 Ledanski Hyde email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2018.03.15 11:33:46 -04'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 March 12, 2018 Date: